Pope Francis Wants You to Worship a Wafer!

On this program I want to talk about the Bishops and the Cardinals who are reacting to Pope Francis' apostolic exhortation, Amoris Laetitia, because we are getting contradictory statements, contradictory policy, and I think we should go over these because his exhortation is causing a great Division in the Church. However, that division is necessary, because, as I have stated before on this program, 'the stone must be cut out from the mountain' as prophesied by Daniel. The 'stone' is the remnant Catholic Church that will separate from the Roman Catholic Church and eventually take over the entire planet and defeat the One World Government and the One World Religion. And the mountain which the stone is cut out from is the Roman Catholic Church.

Pope sends message for Italy's upcoming Eucharistic Congress

Pope Francis encouraged everyone to visit the Blessed Sacrament every day, if possible. in a message for of the upcoming Eucharistic Congress in Geneva, Italy. Jul 07, 2016



So let's first go over what the Pope did a few days ago; and here's the headline and a picture of the Pope holding Eucharistic Adoration and it's very interesting because I believe the Pope is not showing here the true Eucharistic Body of our Lord, that is, his Body, Blood, Soul and Divinity, but rather a piece of bread and that is what he is asking Catholics to worship under his Administration. Worshiping a mere

piece of bread would be paganism, a violation of the First Commandment! If the Pope intends to give his consecrated Eucharists to people living in mortal sin then his Eucharists are invalid because his intention is flawed.

And here is what he wrote, and on the surface it sounds pretty good; I think he is maybe throwing a dog bone to the traditionalists. 'On this happy occasion which is offered to us (this is Pope Francis speaking) I encourage all the Faithful to always honor the most blessed Eucharist, the Pope said in the message, which was addressed to the president of the Italian Bishop's Conference, Cardinal Agnello Bagnaso. Citing the Second Vatican Council document, Sacrosanct Consilium, the Pope referred to the Eucharist as a sacrament of love, a sign of unity, a bond of charity, and he called the faithful to be nourished by it in order to be fraternally united among themselves, and cooperate in the building of the Church and the good of the world.'

Did you notice anything missing from Pope Francis' description of the Eucharist? He never once referred to the Eucharist as the real Body, Blood, Soul and Divinity of our Lord, Jesus Christ. He could have been talking about a pancake breakfast! Social gatherings can also bring unity among the church members and fraternity. They can bring a bond of charity, a building of the church and a good of the world, which is what he says the Eucharist is all about. Pope Francis is wrong! The Eucharist is all about eating the Body and the Blood of our Lord which Jesus said, if you do not do, you have no life within you.



NEWS CATHOLIC CHURCH Fri Jul 8, 2015 - 11:28 am EST

Bishop: Amoris Laetitia's 'intentional ambiguity' means people will do 'whatever they want'

And now, I want to go over some responses; this was on LifeSiteNews by Bishop Tobin; he gives a response which tells us we have a huge problem in the Church because Pope Francis' apostolic exhortation is full of ambiguity. 'Amoris Laetitia's intentional ambiguity means people will do whatever they want. (I think Bishop Thomas J. Tobin, is right on!) In a

Facebook post, the Providence, Rhode Island Bishop wrote that the document allows for a wide range of interpretations and that is why so many prelates and commentators have different takes on it. (And this is what he wrote on his Facebook page) Upon reflection, it's become pretty clear that Pope Francis' document on marriage and the family, Amoris Laetitia, is marked by ambiguity, and that's intentional on the Holy Father's part, I think. That explains why, in just the last couple of days, we've had very different interpretations of the document from two prominent leaders of the church, Archbishop Charles Chaput of Philadelphia and Cardinal Christoph Schonborn of Vienna and from many other commentators as well.'

I would like to remind all the bishops and Cardinals of the Catholic Church, and Pope Francis if he is listening, God is not the author of confusion! Satan is the author of confusion and Satan is the inspiration behind this document and now there are opposite interpretations. But first, I want to go over Bishop Chaput's diocesan guidelines for the implementation of Amoris Laetitia: 'He instructed pastors to uphold the Church's long-lasting practice of not admitting to Holy Communion the divorced and civilly remarried, those who are sexually active with their second partner. Chaput also wrote that the divorced and civilly remarried, and those in active and open same-sex relationships, should not hold positions of parish responsibility or participate in liturgical ministries because of the potential for scandal. As with all magisterial documents, Amoris Laetitia is best understood when read within the tradition of the Church's teaching and life'. And that tradition goes back to our Lord Himself who said 'anyone who puts away his wife and marries another commits adultery' and to what Saint Paul said 'anyone who eats and drinks the body and the blood of our Lord unworthily is guilty of the body and the blood of our Lord'.

And next, here is an opposite interpretation from Cardinal Schonborn: 'In an interview with La Civilta Cattolica, Schönborn said that there has been an evolution in the Church's view of those in situations it labels objectively sinful (sin is now evolving according to Cardinal Schonborn) Amoris Laetitia allows holy communion for the divorced and civilly remarried in some instances he said. (Cardinal Schonborn is right! Amoris Leticia does allow this perversion of the gospel and all you have to do is read footnote 351). 'It is possible that in some cases one who is in an objective situation

of sin can receive the help of the sacraments (that is a lie, Cardinal Schonborn, the Holy Eucharist is not a sacramental, it is a Sacrament and it is reserved for those who are not living in mortal sin) said Schonborn, based on the discernment of individual cases both in the internal forum and in the external forum'.

So now, what's important for us lay people, which of these interpretations is correct and which will be adopted by the USCCB, Cardinal Schonborn's or Archbishop Chaput's? And there's no doubt that Pope Francis has stated publicly: Cardinal Schonborn's interpretation is his definitive interpretation. And I have told you before what will be the consequence: when the Church teaches that someone in mortal sin may receive Holy Communion, that invalidates the Eucharist of all priests in the Catholic Church because the taken over Church is defiling the priest's intention. The only way you can keep the priests' consecrations valid is if you do what Archbishop Chaput did: repudiate Amoris Laetitia. If you publicly proclaim that divorced and remarried Catholics cannot receive Communion in your diocese, then the consecrations of your priests will be valid.

This is already not true in the Archdiocese of Chicago where Cardinal Cupich has stated that anyone and everyone may receive Communion. I am warning all of you Catholics in Chicago, your Eucharists are not valid. Your priests cannot consecrate the Body and Blood of our Lord unless they repudiate what Cardinal Schonborn and Pope Francis and Cardinal Cupich are doing. I hope and pray all bishops come out and take a stand one way or the other so that the faithful will know: are their consecrated Eucharists valid or not?

Pope Francis wants you to worship a piece of bread! He doesn't want you to worship our Lord; that's because he is the False Prophet. And one of my subscribers wrote to her bishop and this was his namby-pamby response; he is totally uncommitted and that is not acceptable, and I won't give you his name because I don't have his permission: 'You wrote to me about the Holy Father's post synodal apostolic exhortation, entitled, Amoris Laetitis. This document is the Pope's summation of the work of the Synod on the family. In short, you are encouraging me not to accept the Holy Father's letter on the basis that it does not represent the teaching of the Catholic Church relative to the Eucharist and marriage (well it doesn't). In truth, I searched Amoris Laetitia very carefully and could not find anything contrary to canon law or the Catechism of the Catholic

Church. Of course, one would not expect to find such contradictions in a papal document.'

Well I agree, you should not expect to find such contradictions in a papal document but I would ask that Bishop to read footnote 351 which says there are instances where the divorced and civilly remarried Catholics can receive Holy Communion. That is a violation of 2,000 years of church law! If that had been in effect when Henry the Eighth was King, England would still be Catholic! The Pope could not have excommunicated Henry the 8th; Henry the 8th could have had as many wives as he wanted and still not be excommunicated.

Consequently, Pope Francis is obviously making a major radical change of the Church and what he is doing is fulfilling the prophecy of Daniel; the False Prophet will take the 'daily sacrifice away from the prince'. Read Daniel chapter 10, verse number 3, where Daniel exclaims (writing as if he were the false prophet): 'I ate no desirable bread and neither flesh nor wine entered into my mouth neither was I anointed with oil (that's the False Prophet) until the days of three weeks were accomplished'. The three weeks symbolize the three years of Great Tribulation.

The world may not understand what the great tribulation is but this IS the great tribulation: the taking away of the consecrations of Catholic priests. Your priests, and your parish, and your diocese must go against what the Pope is doing and what Cardinal Schonborn is teaching. They must follow the lead of Archbishop Chaput, not the lead of Cardinal Cupich, if they want to continue receiving the true Body and Blood of our Lord in Holy Communion.